

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/928,578		08/10/2001	Yu-Cheun Jou	PA010391	7879		
23696	7590	02/14/2006		EXAMINER			
QUALCON	-		LUGO, DAVID B				
5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 ART UNIT PAPER				PAPER NUMBER			
	,			2637			
					D. MIC. V. V. DD. 00 (1.4/000)		

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/928,578	JOU, YU-CHEUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David B. Lugo	2637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2005.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the merits	is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 40-49 is/are pending in the application	١.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>40-49</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2002</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/14/05 has been entered.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because the copies of the drawings on file with the Office include various portions of text, block diagrams and graphs in Figures 2-6 that are not clearly legible. New copies of Figures 2-6 are required. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,578 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 40-49 are objected to because of the following informalities:

a. Claim 40, lines 2-3 recites "a communication channel received at a receiver." This limitation should be corrected since it is not a communication channel that is received, but rather, data which is transmitted over the communication channel.

- b. Claim 40, lines 4-5 recites "the communication channel received at the receiver."

  This limitation should be corrected (see objection a. above).
- c. Claim 40, line 11 recites "transmission of said communication channel to said receiver." This limitation should be corrected (see objection a. above).
- d. Claim 42, lines 1-2 recites "transmitting said communication channel to said receiver." This limitation should be corrected (see objection a. above).
- e. Claim 45, lines 13-14 recites "transmission of said communication channel to said receiver." This limitation should be corrected (see objection a. above).
- f. Claim 47, line 2 recites "transmitting said communication channel to said receiver." This limitation should be corrected (see objection a. above).
- g. Claim 49 recites "said transmitter" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 40-49 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2637

Nakano et al. U.S. Patent 5,873,028 discloses the calculation of a channel to interference ratio (CIR) value for power control purposes. Tran U.S. Patent 6,735,449 discloses generating a power command based upon the rate of change of signal indicia such as the signal to interference ratio of a communication signal. However, the prior art of record fails to teach determining a minimum gain level based on a determined CIR, determining a gain margin of the communication channel based on the rate of change of the CIR, and determining a gain level of the communication channel based on the minimum gain level and the gain margin.

#### Conclusion

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters described above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte*Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Lugo whose telephone number is 571-272-3043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,578

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Lugo 2/10/06

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5